



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/328,911	06/09/99	GELON	W PA-98038

PM82/0914

JOYCE E KOSINSKI
PATENT ADMINISTRATOR
LORAL SPACE AND COMMUNICATIONS LTD
655 DEEP VALLEY DRIVE SUITE 303
ROLLING HILLS ESTATES CA 90274

EXAMINER

BROADHEAD, B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3661

DATE MAILED: 09/14/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/328,911

Applicant(s)

Walter Gelon

Examiner

Brian J. Broadhead

Art Unit

3661

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 1999.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:
1. ☐ received.
2. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) _____.
3. ☐ received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: One of the patents in the background of the invention has the wrong number, it should be "5595360" instead of "5596360". Also, the serial number of the patent the prior art drawing is taken from is not listed in the brief description of the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1 through 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3661

5. Claims 1, 3, 9, 23, 26, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for not clearly pointing out what is in the claim and what is not. The use of "and/or" in the claims renders them indefinite because the scope of the claims cannot be determined as to whether both elements are to be included or only one.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1 through 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spitzer et al., 5716029.

8. As per claim 1 and 23, Spitzer et al, discloses launching a spacecraft on lines 56-57, on page 4; a spacecraft with chemical and electrical propulsion on lines 6-11, on page 9; and a solar array on line 37, on page 6; firing the chemical propulsion at the apogees of the intermediate orbits to raise the orbit to a geosynchronous orbit on lines 42-43, on page 3; firing the electric thrusters to raise the orbit from where the chemical thruster raised the orbit on lines 53-54, on page 3; and selectively firing the chemical thruster on lines 40-41, on page 3.

9. As per claim 2, Spitzer et al. discloses the thrust vector is maintained substantially normal to the axis of the solar array and the sun is normal to the solar array on lines 6-7, on page 10.

10. As per claims 3, 25, and 26, Spitzer et al. discloses the thrust vector is not normal to the axis of the solar array and the thrust vector is controlled to provide sufficient solar power to perform maneuvers and minimize propellant use on lines 35-38, on page 3.

11. As per claim 4 and 5, Spitzer et al. discloses that the transfer orbit is subsynchronous on line 45, on page, and supersynchronous on line 33, on page 1.

12. As per claim 6 and 24, Spitzer et al. discloses the thruster firing profile is generated on board on lines 40-41, on page 3.

13. As per claims 7, 8, 9, 25, 26, 27, and 29, Spitzer et al. discloses control from the earth on lines 40-42, on page 6; the steering maintains the sun normal to the solar array on lines 35-38, on page 10; the thrust vector is not normal to the axis of the solar array and the thrust vector is controlled to provide sufficient solar power to perform maneuvers and minimize propellant use on lines 35-38, on page 3, and lines 57-60, on page 5.

14. As per claims 10, 11, and 28, Spitzer et al. discloses the spacecraft steering profile steers the thrust vector to maintain the sun normal to the solar panels on lines 35-40, on page 10; and firing the electric propulsion to compensate for the disturbances on lines 5-7, on page 6.

15. As per claim 15, Spitzer et al. discloses being able to turn on and off the thrusters on lines 40-41, on page 3.

16. As per claim 16, Spitzer et al. discloses using the electric thruster to compensate for disturbances on lines 42-45, on page 7.

Art Unit: 3661

17. As per claim 17, 18, 19, and 20, Spitzer et al. discloses using momentum wheels to maintain vehicle attitude on lines 1-2, on page 6; and the thrust vector points the resultant vector away from the center of mass on lines 51-63, on page 5.

18. As per claims 12, 13, 14, 21, and 22, Spitzer et al. discloses a hybrid propulsion system to use both chemical and electric propulsion to achieve a geosynchronous orbit and to compensate for disturbances on lines 5-45, on page 9.

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

20. Gamble et al., 5992799, discloses an earth based spacecraft orbit and attitude control using a look-ahead thruster selection logic and magnetic torques.

21. Anzel, 5810295, discloses a method and apparatus for a satellite station keeping.

22. Spitzer, 5595360, discloses an optimal transfer orbit trajectory using electric propulsion.

23. Bouzat, 5067672, discloses a method of placing a geostationary telecommunication satellite in orbit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 703-308-9033. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on 703-308-3873. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3661

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

BJB
September 11, 2000

Jacques Louis-Jacques
JACQUES H. LOUIS-JACQUES
PRINCIPAL EXAMINER